Traditional Catholics are Defending the Sexual Abuse of Children because it's the SSPX this Time and Voris is the Reporter (KARMA is a what?)

tonyodarg.wordpress.com/2020/04/23/traditional-catholics-are-defending-the-sexual-abuse-of-children-because-its-the-sspx-this-time-and-voris-is-the-reporter-karma-is-a-what

Tony Odarg April 23, 2020



Whatever you want to think of Church Militant or their gay star Michael Voris, no one can deny that their new spotlight report is damming. First of all, I should qualify by saying that I thoroughly loathe both the Society and Voris's Detroit operation *on theological grounds mainly*. That's not to say I do not despise them on other grounds, which I do, the major one being that the SSPX has repeatedly played a "we're not in schism" game and Voris used to take it in the rear. But all that aside, the SSPX will have to do some reckoning in the future, for which there is some evidence that they are already doing so.

The report details numerous cases of sex abuse not just by priests, but laity and employees as well, and also systematic coverup at all levels by the Society. Although not as salacious as Randy Engel, it is stomach turning without the graphic details. But not everyone is so thrilled by this report, some suggesting the Society should sue CM for slander (or maybe libel) and getting all giddy at the idea that they will be ruined financially by any such endeavor. Now this narrative presupposes that the *SSPX is the victim* in all of this, when really, they brought this upon themselves. *If only one case of abuse occurred that was covered up, that is enough to taint any organization*. This is especially true of one that is already under scrutiny due to canonical factors, but also the sex abuse by clergy in the mainstream church. And attacking the faggotry of Voris as a way to slither out of holding the Society accountable for its misconduct *is a form of covering up abuse itself*, if you think about it.

Moreover, the Society responded to the report by CM, which was clearly an attempt to cover up even more. Just the first paragraph is riddled with wtfs, take a look:

> "As a primary and essential matter, the SSPX is committed to investigating all allegations of sexual misconduct by its clergy, religious, and lay employees. The Society also cooperates with all police or other official investigations into said misconduct when it violates the law, whether civil or ecclesiastical. Though no mention of this fact is made in Church Militant's article, the SSPX publicly informed them of this fact in a press release issued last year…."

First, if you were so interested in investigating all allegations, *why didn't you?* Why were priests who were found guilty or credibly accused shuffled around town to other parishes? Second, you say you "cooperate" with all police and other officials. Just think about that for a second, *what exactly does this tell us different from any other organization*? Is there any organization that has an *official* policy of NOT cooperating in cases of abuse with the cops, because to do so would be *illegal by the way*? Maybe the reason CM didn't mention this is because the very allegation in their report is that cover up is rampant and the Society did not report to the police credible cases of abuse? The Society is chiding CM for an allegation the Society itself presupposes in its argument against CM to be false. *The whole argument is circular*. Now while an investigative video is not sworn witness testimony, *every allegation is hearsay*. That is the whole point of a trial and going to the police: to establish what happened and if the allegations are credible and whether it should go to trial. They go on:

> "The SSPX does not deny that there have been serious and tragic individual cases of abuse committed by a discrete number of clergy and employees. Some of these cases are decades old, occurring before a time when a number of the SSPX's districts, including the U.S. District, had the infrastructure in place to readily record, investigate, and report accusations promptly. That situation has since been rectified, and the U.S. District continues to update its internal policies to put them in line with best practices."

REALLY? How loony is that! The Society acknowledges that cases occurred, but say they are decades old (as though that's an excuse, although there is statute of limitations to be fair). But then the Society claims that they did not have the right "infrastructure" in place to respond to it appropriately. What does this mean? Do you have to have a certain amount of chapels? Priests? Lawyers? Secretaries? Kids to prey on? What? What do you need that is so important that it is *impossible* for you to report allegations to the police or fire employees who are perverts? Just tell me for little Jimmy's sake: what is so vital for you *to do the right thing* in protecting God's children that you need, the absence of which prevented you from responding properly? Because….

Whatever it was that was so vital for you to report abuse cases, without which you were incapable of doing so, maybe you shouldn't have had any chapels or parishes or any presence at all in that area of the world until such time as that magical infrastructure that gives you the ability to act decently, exists!

But alas, that would cut down on Sunday collections, wouldn't it.

Links and archives:
Original report: https://www.churchmilitant.com/news/article/spotlight-sympathetic-to-perverts
Archive: https://archive.vn/DNxTH

Analysis: https://novusordowatch.org/2020/04/sex-abuse-coverup-by-sspx-clergy/
Archive: https://archive.vn/0cm7c

SSPX analysis: https://novusordowatch.org/2020/04/sspx-responds-church-militant-sex-abuse/
Archive: https://archive.vn/T88Co

SSPX response: https://sspx.org/en/publications/newsletters/us-district-responds-church-militant-57641
Archive: https://archive.vn/R2oFL

A story: https://fromrome.info/2020/04/22/sspxs-unknown-islands/
Archive: https://archive.vn/Su1sZ

Leadership: https://eponymousflower.blogspot.com/2020/04/castling-of-leadership-at-sspx.html
Archive: https://archive.vn/nbpE5

Slander: https://eponymousflower.blogspot.com/2020/04/will-church-militant-be-litigated-and.html
Archive: https://archive.vn/vNjN2